Application No.

Applicant(s)

Setlak et ai.

Interview Summary

Examiner

Dmitry A. Novik

08/858,144

Group Art Unit 2721



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	ersonnel):
(1) Dmitry A. Novik, The Examiner	(3)
(2) Jeffrey S. Whittle, Applicants' Representative	(4)
Date of Interview Feb 1, 1999	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement X was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 24 and 38-43	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement was reached, or any other comments: rrection in claim 24 and to cancel claims 38-43. Jeffrey S.
Whittle authorized to make such changes by corresponding	
	•
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no co- is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render by of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	te record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate t LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUSection 713.04). If a response to the last Office action ha FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP salready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is c	cluding any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the ensidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last ing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.